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The context

Emerging interests in migration

- Level and trend in migration
- Remittance, poverty and development
- Human dimensions of migration
- Global crises and migration
Purpose and scope of study

Three broad objectives:

- Analyze impact of migration on household poverty and wellbeing
- Highlight differential impact of different types of migration on poverty and wellbeing
- Delineate policy imperatives of differential impact on poverty and wellbeing
Concepts and definitions

- **Type of migration**
  - Internal
  - Regional
  - International (contractual)

- **Measures of poverty**
  - Income measure (poverty line/headcount)
  - Housing and living conditions
  - Human development
  - Coping with vulnerability

- **Controlled group**
  - Non-migrant households
Research instruments

- **Rapid screening survey (RSS)**
  - Migrant households
  - Non-migrant households

- **Questionnaire interview**
  - Migrant households
  - Non-migrant households

- **Consultative process**
  - Core research team and Sussex team
  - Core research team and field staff
## Geographic distribution of sample households

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Upazila</th>
<th>Union</th>
<th>Villages</th>
<th>Number of migrant households interviewed</th>
<th>Number of non-migrant households interviewed</th>
<th>Total number of households interviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapai Nawabganj</td>
<td>Gumastapur</td>
<td>Baganbari</td>
<td>Islampur; Brajanathpupur; Kadirpur; Daipata; Azogora; Bangabari; Shyampur; Mohananda; Begunbari; Santoshpur.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangail</td>
<td>Kalihat</td>
<td>Nagbari</td>
<td>Nagbari; Pakutia; Chatihat; Kumariabari; Nauapaea; Dhanghara.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satkhira</td>
<td>Kolaroa</td>
<td>Sonataria</td>
<td>Uttar Sonabaria; Dakhin Sonabaria; Dakhin Sonabaria; Bhadiali; Chanda; Balianpur; Madra.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaibandha</td>
<td>Shaghata</td>
<td>Saghata</td>
<td>Gubundi; North Shathalia; South Shathalia; North Jogipara; South Jogipara; Kochuahat.</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittagong</td>
<td>Anwara</td>
<td>Haidhar</td>
<td>Dakhin Ichhakahli; Malghar; Tekota; Peerghain; Haidhar.</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barashat</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ducumra</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barisal</td>
<td>Agajhara</td>
<td>Rajihar</td>
<td>Barabasail; Chotobasail; Lokkarmatia; Purba Goail; Paschim Goail; Changutia; Kandirpar</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>905</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Geographic Location of Households covered under RSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Upazila</th>
<th>Union</th>
<th>Village</th>
<th>Number migrant households</th>
<th>Number non-migrant households</th>
<th>Total number households</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapai Nawabganj</td>
<td>Gumastapur</td>
<td>Bagbanbari</td>
<td>Islampur; Brajanathpupur; Kadirpur; Azogora; Begunbari; Santoshpur</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangail</td>
<td>Kalihati</td>
<td>Nagbari</td>
<td>Nagbari; Pakutia; Chatihati; Nauapae; Dhanghara</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satkhira</td>
<td>Kolaroa</td>
<td>Sonataria</td>
<td>Uttar Sonabaria; Dakhin Sonabaria; Bhadiali; Chanda; Balianpur; Madra</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaibandha</td>
<td>Shaghata</td>
<td>Saghata</td>
<td>Gubundi; North Shathalia; North Jogipara; South Jogipara; Kochuahat.</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittagong</td>
<td>Anwara</td>
<td>Haildhar</td>
<td>Dakhin Ichhakahli; Malghar; Tekota; Peerghain; Haildhar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Barashat; Dudkumra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barisal</td>
<td>Agajghara</td>
<td>Rajihar</td>
<td>Barabasail; Chotobasail; Lokkharmatia; Purba Goail; Paschim Goail; Changutia; Kandirpar</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grand total</td>
<td>2132</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>3035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of total</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Schematic Diagram Showing Linkages Between Migration and Poverty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migration Types</th>
<th>Impact of Migration</th>
<th>Indicators of Poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Internal</td>
<td>• Inflow of remittances</td>
<td>• Absolute poverty – head-count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Regional</td>
<td>• Use of remittances</td>
<td>• Housing/ living conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• International</td>
<td>• Income, expenditure and savings</td>
<td>• Human development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Permanent</td>
<td>• Employment opportunity</td>
<td>• Gender relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Education and training</td>
<td>• Coping with vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Health and hygiene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Asset accumulation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Housing and sanitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Debt repayment and savings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diversified income sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Income smoothening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Attitude and expectations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Status of women</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Socio-Demographics and Migration Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender of household head</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of migration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Regional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender of household head</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of family head</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=25</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 45</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 +</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=5</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 &amp; above</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest education level in family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th level or less</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th to 10th level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above S.S.C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of households</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Socio-demographic Characteristics of Migrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migrant characteristics</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=25</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 40</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40+</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest level of education:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th level or less</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th to S.S.C</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above S.S.C</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Socio-demographic Characteristics of Migrants (contd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of migration:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;=3</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 8</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8+</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of migration:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for migration:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job related/ work/</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study/training</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision maker:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant self</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse/Partner</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Household economic condition at the time of first migration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic condition</th>
<th>Migrant</th>
<th>Non-migrant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic deficit</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasional deficit</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakeven</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surplus</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>905</strong></td>
<td><strong>300</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of sample migrants by nature and type of migration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of migrants</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Migrant</td>
<td>International Migrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Together</strong></td>
<td><strong>616</strong></td>
<td><strong>500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Frequency of sending remittances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of sending remittances</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Migrant</td>
<td>International Migrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortnightly</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every couple of months</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every three months</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every six months</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every year</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only if household requests money</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Mode of Sending Remittances back Home

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of sending remittances</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Migrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheque/demand draft</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank/exchange house</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile banking</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile to mobile/bKash</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus transport companies</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant brings the money home</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friend travelling back home</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hundi</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Remittances Uses under First, Second and Third Choice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First important use of remittance</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal Migrant</td>
<td>Internal Migrant</td>
<td>Regional Migrant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Important Use of Remittance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyday consumption</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay off migration-finance loans</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay off other loans</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and development of homestead</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second important use of remittance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyday consumption</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and medical</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td></td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay off migration-finance loans</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay off other loans</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third important use of remittances</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and medical</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay off migration-finance loans</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay off other loans</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction/development of homestead</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household goods</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita monthly household income (in taka)</td>
<td>Migration type</td>
<td>All migrants</td>
<td>Non- migrant households</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;= 1500</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1501 - 2250</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2251 - 2999</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 3,000</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000 - 3600</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3601 - 4200</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4201 - 4500</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4501 +</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>448</strong></td>
<td><strong>421</strong></td>
<td><strong>36</strong></td>
<td><strong>905</strong></td>
<td><strong>300</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Poverty rate across different types of migration

- **Internal**: 53%
- **International**: 20%
- **Regional**: 72%
- **All migrants**: 39%
- **Non-migrant**: 68%
Depth of household poverty by migration type
(% below the poverty line)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migration Type</th>
<th>1% to 25%</th>
<th>26% - 49%</th>
<th>50% or more</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Number of Migrants in the Household and Poverty Rate

- **1 migrant**
- **2 migrants**
- **2+ migrants**

- **Internal**
- **International**
- **Regional**
Duration of migration, Household Poverty and Type of Migration

- **0%**
- **20%**
- **40%**
- **60%**
- **80%**
- **100%**
- **120%**

- **0 - 5 Years**
- **6 - 9 Years**
- **10+ Years**

**Internal**
**International**
**Regional**
Highest Level of Education in the Family and Household Poverty

- **0% - 5%**
- **6% - 11%**
- **12% +**

- Internal
- International
- Regional
Family size, Household Poverty Rate & Migration Type

![Graph showing the relationship between family size, household poverty rate, and migration type. The graph compares data for 1-4, 5-8, and 9 & above family sizes across different poverty rates and migration types: Internal, International, Regional.](image-url)
## Household Ownership of Physical Assets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ownership physical assets</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
<th>All migrants</th>
<th>Non-migrant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Regional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homestead land</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural land</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homestead land in urban/ periurban areas</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial land</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cases</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Quality of Housing of Migrants and Non-Migrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements of housing quality</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>All migrants</th>
<th>Non-migrants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size of House (in square feet):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>751 - 1000</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001 +</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of rooms in the house:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 +</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wall material:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick/concrete</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roof Material:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galvanized iron/corrugated tin</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete cement</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Floor material:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement layout and earth surface</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemented</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>448</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Availability of household amenities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household amenities</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Migrant</td>
<td>Non-migrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of drinking water:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piped into dwelling</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube well in dwelling</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of Electricity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel used for cooking:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firewood</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of toilet facility:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flush toilet/septic tank</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Change in Gender Relations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices of empowerment</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female migrants as % of total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Together</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main household decision maker:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse/Partner</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother-in-law</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female family head</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Perception of changes experienced

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevailing perception</th>
<th>Migration type</th>
<th>All migrants</th>
<th>Non migrant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Regional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of life:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Much easier or easier</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household living conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better or much better</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial situation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate or more</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to more land:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household daily life</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier or much easier</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall daily life:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier or much easier</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major Conclusions:

- Migration has positive impact on poverty
- Impact on poverty can be direct/immediate as also indirect/trickledown based on multiplier effects
- Differential impact of alternate type of migration
- International migration has greater impact on poverty
- Comprehensive definition of poverty underscores level and depth of poverty
- Proper management of migration underlines realizing various latent opportunities
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